



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,468	08/20/2003	Michael D. Kobrechel	DUR-105	8508

23570 7590 09/07/2007
PORTER WRIGHT MORRIS & ARTHUR, LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP
41 SOUTH HIGH STREET
28TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS, OH 43215

EXAMINER

A, PHI DIEU TRAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3637

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/07/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/646,468	Applicant(s) KOBREHEL ET AL.	
	Examiner Phi D. A	Art Unit 3637	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12, 15 and 16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-6 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 7-8, 10-12, 15-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 line 8 “ each have” is objected to because of the following informalities: each is singular so should it be “ each has”? Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 10-12, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (5131194) in view of Cross et al (2258973)

Anderson (figures 1-3) shows a plastic glazing panel (acrylic) comprising a generally rectangular glazing panel of transparent plastic (11, 12) having top, bottom and side edges, a retainer frame (120), a seal assembling (13, 31) including sections of a perimeter channel held within the retainer frame and forming a corresponding generally rectangular glazing opening, wherein sections of the channel each have a lip (72-77) that together defining an opening of smaller size than the glazing opening and the panel, so as to retain the panel in the channel, wherein the retainer frame, said sections, and said glazing panels are sized and shaped and said glazing panel is sufficiently thin and flexible so that said glazing panel is able to be easily bowed so as to allow opposite edges of the glazing panel to be drawn together sufficiently to be able to be passed by the lips of opposite sections of the perimeter channel and allow another edge of the glazing panel to be received in a receiving channel section extending along the glazing opening while the channel sections are within the retainer frame (inherently capable of functioning as

Art Unit: 3637

claimed; also column 4 lines 65-68 to column 5 lines 1-7; column 5 lines 60-68 further discloses that various components of the window may be disassembled in the event that repair or maintenance is require by simply reversing the steps; the components may be sold in either partially assembled form or in a kit application form;it is apparent that the window panes may be inserted into the respective recesses of the gasket....."Likewise, the gasket itself is inserted into an appropriate recess in a window frame by compression of the gasket and sliding into the recess...." which also means the panes have to be inserted into the gasket next), wherein the receiving channel section having a resiliently compressible element (81') disposed therein allowing sufficient movement upon pushing of another edge of the glazing panel thereagainst so that the glazing panel edge opposite the another glazing panel edge clears the lip of the opposite channel section allowing removal of the glazing panel, but thereafter upon release causes the glazing panel to be repositioned to locate the another edge of the panel at an intermediate depth in the receiving channel section, the element being a bow leaf spring disposed in the bottom of the receiving channel, the receiving channel section is at the bottom of the glazing opening, and further including a positioner element (81') selectively manipulatable to allow lowering of the glazing panel and thereafter hold the glazing panel another edge at an intermediate position in the channel section so that the opposite edge of the panel does not clear the lip of the channel section opposite the receiving channel section, the positioner element comprising a compressible element able to be compressed by pushing the panel another edge thereagainst, and thereafter the glazing panel is released moving the opposite edge of the glazing panel into the one channel section opposite the receiving channel section, a primary glazing panel (12) installed in the frame adjacent the glazing panel and aligned therewith but spaced to one side, the glazing panel being

Anderson does not show the receiving channel section being deeper than an opposite channel section.

Cross et al shows a receiving channel section (figure 9 the channel where part 8 is) being deeper than an opposite channel section to enable the easy and secured mounting of the glazing panel in the channels.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Anderson's structure to show the receiving channel section being deeper than an opposite channel section because it would allow for the easy and secured mounting of the glazing panel in the channels as taught by Cross et al.

3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (5131194) in view of Gasteuger (3720026).

Anderson (figures 1, 3) shows a plastic glazing panel (acrylic) comprising a generally rectangular glazing panel of transparent plastic (11, 12) having top, bottom and side edges, a retainer frame (10) defined by sections of a perimeter channel forming a corresponding generally rectangular glazing opening, the sections of the channel each having a lip (72-77) together defining an opening of smaller size than the glazing opening so as to retain the panel in the channel, the glazing panel being sufficiently thin and flexible to be able to be easily bowed so as to allow opposite edges of the glazing to be drawn together sufficiently to be able to be passed by the lips of opposite sections of the channel and allow another edge of the glazing panel to be received in a receiving channel section extending along the glazing opening (col 2 lines 1-4 discloses the pane being flexible; as the panes are flexible, they certainly can function as claimed when installed).

Art Unit: 3637

thinner and made of plastic to comprise a sacrificial glazing panel (inherently can be sacrificial panel), the glazing panel is sufficiently thin and flexible to enable insertion and removal of the glazing panel into and out of the channel sections without deforming the retainer frame (col 2 lines 1-4 discloses the pane being flexible; as the panes are flexible, they certainly can function as claimed when installed).

Anderson does not show the receiving channel section being deeper than an opposite channel section.

Cross et al shows a receiving channel section (figure 9 the channel where part 8 is) being deeper than an opposite channel section to enable the easy and secured mounting of the glazing panel in the channels.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Anderson's structure to show the receiving channel section being deeper than an opposite channel section because it would allow for the easy and secured mounting of the glazing panel in the channels as taught by Cross et al.

Anderson as modified shows the receiving channel section being deeper than an opposite channel section so that upon insertion of said another edge of the glazing panel and movement towards the bottom of the receiving channel section, edge of the glazing panel opposite said another edge clears said lip of said opposite channel section which is shallower than said receiving channels section to enable insertion and removal of the glazing panel into and out of the glazing opening while said channel sections remain within the retainer frame (see also column 4 lines 65-68 to column 5 lines 1-7; column 5 lines 60-68 further discloses that various components of the window may be disassembled in the event that repair or maintenance is

Art Unit: 3637

require by simply reversing the steps; the components may be sold in either partially assembled form or in a kit application form;it is apparent that the window panes may be inserted into the respective recesses of the gasket.....”Likewise, the gasket itself is inserted into an appropriate recess in a window frame by compression of the gasket and sliding into the recess....” which also means the panes have to be inserted into the gasket next).

3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (5131194) in view of Gasteuger (3720026).

Anderson (figures 1-3) shows a plastic glazing panel (acrylic) comprising a generally rectangular glazing panel of transparent plastic (11, 12) having top, bottom and side edges, a retainer frame (120), a seal assembling (13, 31) including sections of a perimeter channel held within the retainer frame and forming a corresponding generally rectangular glazing opening, wherein sections of the channel each have a lip (72-77) that together defining an opening of smaller size than the glazing opening and the panel, so as to retain the panel in the channel, wherein the retainer frame, said sections, and said glazing panels are sized and shaped and said glazing panel is sufficiently thin and flexible so that said glazing panel is able to be easily bowed so as to allow opposite edges of the glazing panel to be drawn together sufficiently to be able to be passed by the lips of opposite sections of the perimeter channel and allow another edge of the glazing panel to be received in a receiving channel section extending along the glazing opening while the channel sections are within the retainer frame (inherently capable of functioning as claimed; also column 4 lines 65-68 to column 5 lines 1-7; column 5 lines 60-68 further discloses that various components of the window may be disassembled in the event that repair or maintenance is require by simply reversing the steps; the components may be sold in either

Art Unit: 3637

partially assembled form or in a kit application form;it is apparent that the window panes may be inserted into the respective recesses of the gasket....."Likewise, the gasket itself is inserted into an appropriate recess in a window frame by compression of the gasket and sliding into the recess...." which also means the panes have to be inserted into the gasket next)

Anderson does not show the receiving channel section being deeper than an opposite channel section, the receiving channel section is at top of the glazing opening, and the bottom edge of the glazing panel rests on a bottom of the channel opposite the receiving channel.

Gasteiger discloses receiving channel section (figure 2, channel with spring 40) being deeper than an opposite channel section (52), the receiving channel section is at top of the frame opening, and the bottom edge of the panel rests on a bottom of the opposite channel section.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Anderson's structure to show the receiving channel section being deeper than an opposite channel section, the receiving channel section is at top of the glazing opening, and the bottom edge of the glazing panel rests on a bottom of the opposite channel section because it would allow for the easy and secured mounting of the panel in the channels as taught by Gasteiger.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7-8, 10-12, 15-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 6/15/07 to claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10-12, 15-16 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's argument that the reference is not able to carry out the functions as claimed, examiner respectfully disagrees. As pointed out above, the structures are also disclosed as in kit form and to be installed in a multiple ways. The installations also allows for the disassembly of the structure if desired. As such, there is nothing in the structures that would prevent the structures from being able to function as claimed (see also column 4 lines 65-68 to column 5 lines 1-7; column 5 lines 60-68 further discloses that various components of the window may be disassembled in the event that repair or maintenance is require by simply reversing the steps; the components may be sold in either partially assembled form or in a kit application form;it is apparent that the window panes may be inserted into the respective recesses of the gasket....."Likewise, the gasket itself is inserted into an appropriate recess in a window frame by compression of the gasket and sliding into the recess...." which also means the panes have to be inserted into the gasket next).

With respect to the way applicant's structure is to be installed and removed in the manner claimed, examiner states that first of all, the claims are structural claims, not method claims; secondly, Anderson's disclosure also enables the structures to be installed and removed in the manner claimed (see the cited columns and lines above).

With respect to applicant's statement that Anderson et al's panels cannot be installed and removed in the claimed manner as it is clear from Figure 2 that shows the gasket is prevented from flexing by the frame which overlaps the gasket at the channel, examiner would like to point out the following. First of all, the reference discloses the components are to be movable for maintenance; secondly, the components can be in kit form until assembly; thirdly, both the gasket (13, 31) and spring (81') are compressible elements which helps create a large space on

Art Unit: 3637

the other edge panel when an opposite edge is pressed into the retainer frame, and the large can function to allow for removal of the panel when desired; fourthly, one would notice the empty space 32 and the empty space besides the ribs 40 which can further function to improve compressibility of the gasket and create more space on the other side edge of the panel. The argument is thus moot.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 3637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A *PA*

9/1/07

LANNA MAI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

